

**PERALTA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT
Board of Trustees Agenda Report
For the Trustee Meeting Date of October 25, 2011**

ITEM # 16

ITEM TITLE:

Consider Approval of Short-Listed Firms for Construction & Project Management Services District-Wide

SPECIFIC BOARD ACTION REQUESTED:

Approval is requested of short-listed firms that will provide construction & project management services District-wide for the foreseeable future.

ITEM SUMMARY:

A formal Request for Qualifications (RFQ 10-11/29) was conducted for Construction & Project Management Services District-Wide. A total of 27 firms responded to the RFQ. The responses were evaluated by a selection committee, and based on the evaluation criteria, approval is requested for the following fifteen (15) short-listed firms and four (4) SLBE and SELBE firms. It should be noted that staff verified the claims by some businesses to be SLBE/SELBE (including financial tax returns) and sustains the validity of such claims.

SOURCE OF FUNDS (AND FISCAL/BUDGETARY IMPACT):

Approval of the short list has no fiscal impact. The fees for project management/construction management services will be paid from the appropriate project budget when services are required. Board approval will be requested, as needed, when firms are hired.

BACKGROUND/ANALYSIS:

The District previously solicited for Construction Management/Project Management (CM/PM) services in December 2007. It was therefore necessary to update this list with current vendors. The firms were selected from proposals received in response to a formal Request for Qualifications (RFQ 10-11/29). The RFQ was publically advertised, and a total of 27 firms responded. A total of 9 (47%) out of 19 vendors selected were from the Oakland-Berkeley area. The responses were evaluated by a selection committee, based on the evaluation criteria listed in the RFQ (summary, narrative, additional data, experience and references). The committee identified the following fifteen (15) firms that received the highest evaluation scores:

FIRM	LOCATION
1. HEERY INTERNATIONAL	Oakland, CA
2. KITCHELL	San Jose, CA
3. SEVILLE CONSTRUCTION SERVICES	Pasadena, CA

4. CONSOLIDATED CM INC.	Oakland, CA
5. APSI CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	San Francisco, CA
6. SGI CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	San Francisco, CA
7. GKK WORKS	Oakland, CA
8. CAMBRIDGE CM	Palo Alto, CA
9. GILBANE BUILDING COMPANY	San Jose, CA
10. DON TODD ASSOCIATES	San Francisco, CA
11. ANTHONIO INC	Oakland, CA
12. RUDOLPH & SLETTEN	Redwood City, CA
13. GAFCON, INC.	Los Angeles, CA
14. 4LEAF, INC.	Pleasanton, CA
15. CORDOBA CORPORATION	Oakland, CA

The following Small Local Business Enterprise (SLBE) and Small Emerging Local Business Enterprise (SELBE) firms located within Peralta Community College District's market area (Alameda, Oakland, Piedmont, Emeryville, Berkeley, and Albany) that are capable of undertaking small to mid-range projects are recommended:

FIRM	LOCATION
1. OLIVE CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	Oakland, CA
2. AMG MANAGEMENT GROUP	Oakland, CA
3. MILLER & ASSOCIATES	Oakland, CA

Compliance verification (including tax returns) for each firm that indicated SLBE/SELBE status has been confirmed.

As necessities arise for project management services to address immediate and future facilities needs for health/safety and capital improvement projects throughout the District, selection will be made from these short-listed firms, based on their qualifications and experience required for the project. Some of these project management firms offer expertise in specific areas that could assist the District with capital projects. The Administration will invite the vendors listed to give actual price quotes when projects are available for implementation.

ALTERNATIVES/OPTIONS:

Not applicable.

EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Approval is recommended of short-listed firms that will provide construction & project management services District-wide for the foreseeable future.

OTHER DEPARTMENTS IMPACTED BY THIS ACTION (E.G. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY):

YES _____ NO X

COMMENTS:

All Board recommended contracts are subject to negotiation and execution by the Chancellor.

WHO WILL BE PRESENTING THIS ITEM AT THE BOARD MEETING?

Vice Chancellor Ikhara

DID A BOARD STANDING COMMITTEE RECOMMEND THE ITEM? YES _____ NO X

IF "YES", PLEASE INCLUDE THAT INFORMATION IN YOUR SUMMARY.

PLEASE ACQUIRE SIGNATURES IN THIS ORDER:

DOCUMENT PREPARED BY:

Prepared by: Sadiq B. Ikharo Date: 10/14/11
Dr. Sadiq B. Ikharo
Vice Chancellor of General Services

DOCUMENT PRESENTED BY:

Sadiq B. Ikharo Date: 10/14/11
Dr. Sadiq B. Ikharo
Vice Chancellor of General Services

FINANCE DEPARTMENT REVIEW

Finance review required Finance review *not* required

If Finance review is required, determination is: Approved Not Approved

If not approved, please give reason: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____
Ronald Gerhard, Chief Financial Officer

GENERAL COUNSEL (Legality and Format/adherence to Education Codes):

Legal review required Legal review *not* required

If Legal review is required, determination is: Approved Not Approved

Signature: _____ Date: _____
Thuy T. Nguyen, General Counsel

CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE APPROVAL

Approved, and Place on Agenda Not Approved, but Place on Agenda

Signature: Wise E. Allen Date: 10/19/11
Wise E. Allen, Chancellor