

**PERALTA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT**  
**Board of Trustees Agenda Report**  
**For the Trustee Meeting Date of 6 December, 2011**

**ITEM #**

**ITEM TITLE:** *(Please define the subject; e.g., change order – Berkeley City College)*

Consider Approval of Redistricting Alternative Based on 2010 Census Data and Drawn in Compliance with California and Federal Law.

**SPECIFIC BOARD ACTION REQUESTED:**

The Board of Trustees reviews, takes public comment on the draft redistricting alternatives and votes on a redistricting alternative.

**ITEM SUMMARY:** *(PLEASE DISCUSS THIS ITEM)*

The Peralta Community College District is required to perform post 2010 Census decennial adjustments to its Trustee Areas to comply with federal and state requirements for compact, contiguous districts of near equal population.

The first four of the proposed alternatives were presented, discussed and public input was invited at the Board of Trustees May 10, 2011 and at public hearings held at each of the colleges during the month of May. The fifth alternative, developed in response to comments from the original four alternatives, was presented and public input was invited at the September 27<sup>th</sup> 2011 Board of Trustees meeting.

The Proposed Trustee Areas are included as ATTACHMENT A.

A report was drafted that compares the measures and weights of all of the alternatives. It is included as ATTACHMENT B.

**SOURCE OF FUNDS (AND FISCAL/BUDGETARY IMPACT):**

*THERE IS NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE DISTRICT.*

**BACKGROUND/ANALYSIS:**

**Alternatives in comparison with the established evaluation criteria:**

Alternative	Maintaining population parity	Maintaining small cities within one trustee area	Maintaining areas of common interest within one district
1	Excellent – Less than 0.75% variance from ideal number	Excellent - Each small city in only one Trustee Area	Good - No more than two areas are divided between two or more districts
2	Significant - Less than 1.00 % variance from ideal number	Excellent - Each small city in only one Trustee Area	Good - No more than two areas are divided between two or more districts
3	Excellent – Less than 0.75% variance from ideal number	Adequate - One small city in more than one trustee area	Adequate - No more than two areas are divided between two or more districts
4	Significant - Less than 1.00 % variance from ideal number	Excellent - Each small city in only one Trustee Area	Good - No more than two areas are divided between two or more districts
5	Excellent – Less than 0.75% variance from ideal number	Excellent - Each small city in only one Trustee Area	Significant-No more than one area is divided between two or more districts

**Conclusion:**

Alternatives 1, 3 and 5 have a low amount of population variance and are rated Excellent in Criterion 1.  
Alternatives 1, 2, 4 and 5 do not divide any small city and are rated Excellent in Criterion 2.

**Only Alternatives 1 and 5 are rated Excellent in both criteria 1 and 2.**

Alternative 5 divides only one area of common interest and is the only Alternative rated Significant in Criterion 3, whereas Alternative 1 divides two areas of common interest and is only rated Good in Criterion 3.

**Therefore, Alternative 5 best meets the three, established evaluation criteria.**

(\*\*\*\*\*Board contract approval is subject to negotiation and execution by the Chancellor.)

**DOCUMENT PREPARED BY:**

Prepared by: Charles Bradshaw  
[Charles Bradshaw, Marstel-Day]

Date: 11-22-11

**DOCUMENT PRESENTED AND APPROVED BY:**

Presented and approved by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**FINANCE DEPARTMENT REVIEW**

\_\_\_\_\_ Finance review required      \_\_\_\_\_ Finance review *not* required

If Finance review is required, determination is:      \_\_\_\_\_ Approved      \_\_\_\_\_ Not Approved

If not approved, please give reason: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Ron Gerhard, Chief Financial Officer

**GENERAL COUNSEL (Legality and Format/adherence to Education Codes):**

\_\_\_\_\_ Legal review required      \_\_\_\_\_ Legal review *not* required

If Legal review is required, determination is:      \_\_\_\_\_ Approved      \_\_\_\_\_ Not Approved

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Thuy T. Nguyen, General Counsel

**CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE APPROVAL**

\_\_\_\_\_ Approved, and Place on Agenda      \_\_\_\_\_ Not Approved, but Place on Agenda

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Wise E. Allen, Chancellor