

**PERALTA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT**  
**Board of Trustees Agenda Report**  
**For the Trustee Meeting Date of February 26, 2013**

**ITEM #**

**ITEM TITLE:**

Consider Approval of the Laney College Facilities Master Plan

**SPECIFIC BOARD ACTION REQUESTED:**

Board of Trustees approval is requested for the Laney College Facilities Master Plan prepared by the Administration and STV (formerly architecture/VBN), with consultation from the stakeholders.

**ITEM SUMMARY:**

Approval is requested for Laney College Facilities Master Plan (LCFMP). Laney College received Board approval for the college's Educational Master Plan that was presented in April 2010. Due to the changes made in the Educational Master Plan and the environmental changes affecting Laney College and the surrounding communities, there was a need to update the Facilities Master Plan. Laney College opted to create a new Facilities Master Plan that reflected these changes.

The Chancellor negotiated a Board approved contract with STV (formerly architecture/VBN) to provide facilities master planning services to create the Laney College Facility Master Plan. An electronic copy of the report is available to the public on the Peralta website at <http://web.peralta.edu/general-services/>.

**SOURCE OF FUNDS (AND FISCAL/BUDGETARY IMPACT):**

No expenditure of funds is involved in this action. Preparation of the Laney Facilities Master Plan was funded by Measure A.

**BACKGROUND/ANALYSIS:**

Laney College opened at its current location in 1970 and has had inconsistent deferred maintenance for over forty years. During the Facilities Master Planning process, STV addressed the college's outdated aesthetic and original design that focused on security and inward activities for the security concerns and instructional practices prevalent in 1970. In order to create a more modern aesthetic facilities that will reflect the new architectural character, the Laney College community is now expressing an interest in focusing outward and creating a more welcoming sustainable atmosphere.

During the Board meeting held on February 29, 2009, the Board of Trustees accepted the Laney College Integrated Educational and Facilities Master Plan. However, due to the major program changes that were being recommended by that plan, the Laney College stakeholders opted to redo the college's educational plan so that it truly reflects the vision of Laney.

There were also changes occurring in the immediate environment, such as the Lake Merritt BART Station 25-year plan, the revitalized Oakland Museum of the Arts, and discussions on the future of the Henry J. Kaiser Convention Center.

Due to these environmental changes which will have a natural impact on the college, a new Educational and Facilities Master Plan was created. Architecture VBN was originally selected through a competitive RFP process to provide architectural services to produce a Facilities Master

Plan for Laney College. Following are a few of the main topics the Laney College Facilities Master Plan establishes and discusses:

- Master Plan Fundamentals
- Facilities Master Plan Criteria
- Facilities Campus-Wide Priorities
- Key Concept for Facilities

The original agreement with VBN, since purchased by STV, for the Laney Facilities Master Plan was approved by the Board of Trustees at the meeting of May 10, 2011, in the amount of \$499,175. On September 25, 2012 the Board of Trustees approved to assign the original agreement from VBN to STV.

**DELIVERABLES/SCOPE OF WORK:**

The Laney College Facilities Master Plan

**ANTICIPATED COMPLETION DATE:**

The Laney College Facilities Master Plan has been completed.

**ALTERNATIVES/OPTIONS:**

Not applicable.

**EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDED ACTION:**

Approval of the Laney College Facilities Master Plan is recommended.

**OTHER DEPARTMENTS IMPACTED BY THIS ACTION (E.G. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY):**

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO   X  

**COMMENTS:**

No additional comments.

**WHO WILL BE PRESENTING THIS ITEM AT THE BOARD MEETING? (VICE CHANCELLOR)**

Vice Chancellor Ikhara

(\*\*\*\*\*Board contract approval is subject to negotiation and execution by the Chancellor.)

**DOCUMENT PREPARED BY:**

Prepared by: Dr. Sadiq B. Ikharo Date: February 13, 2013  
Vice Chancellor of General Services

**DOCUMENT PRESENTED AND APPROVED BY:**

Presented and approved by: Dr. Sadiq B. Ikharo Date: February 13, 2013  
Vice Chancellor of General Services

**FINANCE DEPARTMENT REVIEW**

Finance review required  Finance review *not* required

If Finance review is required, determination is:  Approved  Not Approved

If not approved, please give reason: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Ronald Gerhard Date: 2-22-13  
Ronald Gerhard, Vice Chancellor of Finance

**GENERAL COUNSEL (Legality and Format/adherence to Education Codes):**

Legal review required  Legal review *not* required

If Legal review is required, determination is:  Approved  Not Approved

Signature: Thuy Thi Nguyen Date: 2-22-13  
General Counsel

**CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE APPROVAL**

Approved, and Place on Agenda  Not Approved, but Place on Agenda

Signature: José M. Ortiz Date: 2-19-13  
José M. Ortiz, Chancellor