

**PERALTA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT
Board of Trustees Agenda Report
For the Trustee Meeting Date of September 24, 2013**

ITEM TITLE:

Consider Approval of Amendment No. 1 to the Agreement for Construction Services with FieldTurf for the Laney College Football Field Refurbishment Project (RFP No. 13-14/04)

SPECIFIC BOARD ACTION REQUESTED:

Approval is requested for Amendment No. 1 to the agreement for construction services with FieldTurf for the Laney College Football Field Refurbishment Project (RFP No. 13-14/04), in the amount not-to-exceed \$64,500.

ITEM SUMMARY:

On July 16, 2013, the governing Board of Trustees approved for the District to enter into an agreement with FieldTurf (RFP No. 13-14/04), in the amount of \$814,105. However, staff negotiated a lower amount of \$697,311 with FieldTurf's agreement based on selected construction items outlined in the original bid form. Additional services for drainage pipe replacement are needed as the existing pipes have deteriorated. The existing drain pipes need to be replaced so that the drainage system can function properly to handle surface and sub-surface water from the surrounding area. The firm will perform additional services under the terms and conditions of the original Agreement. Including this Amendment No. 1, the total contract amount is **\$761,811.00**.

(Bid Alternates Laney College)

A3. Perimeter Drain Pipe	\$56,437.50
A4. Perimeter Drain Pipe Fittings	\$2,150.00
A5. Perimeter Drain Pipe Conditions	\$5,912.50
TOTAL:	\$64,500.00

Prices of bid alternatives were included in the original bid form. All Board recommended contracts are subject to negotiation and execution by the Chancellor. The Chancellor recommends approval.

SOURCE OF FUNDS (AND FISCAL/BUDGETARY IMPACT):

Measure E

BACKGROUND/ANALYSIS:

FieldTurf was awarded the contract for construction services to complete the Laney College Football Field Refurbishment according to the specifications and drawings in Request for Proposal (RFP No. 13-14/04). A formal RFP was issued on July 11, 2013 and advertised in a publication of general circulation on June 12 and 18, 2013. This project welcomed bids from general contractors and product manufacturers. All bidders were notified that this project is subject to the District's Project Labor Agreement (PLA). A copy of the District's PLA was provided to potential bidders.

On July 3, 2013, the Purchasing Department received two (2) competitive Request for Proposals from qualified firms, Hellas and FieldTurf, each of which have general contracting license. On July 8, 2013, the selection committee comprised of stakeholder representatives from the college, the project design team, a Purchasing Department (as an observer) and the Department of General Services staff made a final recommendation to the Vice Chancellor of General Services. Each qualified firm was assessed according to the following evaluative criteria: turf installation, project team, safety and injury data, synthetic track product information and performance, project schedule, and financial strength of the business. Based on this assessment, FieldTurf received the highest points of 275, whereas Hellas scored 128. Pursuant to the Public Contract Code (PCC), the District awarded this contract to the bidder whose proposal is determined to be the best value to the District based solely on the criteria set forth in the RFP.

Two contractors submitted proposals in accordance to the specifications and drawings in Request for Proposal (RFP) No. 13-14/04. Submittals included an Evaluation Criteria form and a Bid Form. Submittals were reviewed and scored. The scores were then divided on the basis of base bid amount to determine a cost per point in accordance with the attached specification Section 004101 Proposal Evaluation Process. The maximum point that a bidder could receive was 280. FieldTurf was recommended for the project because they offered the “best value”.

Maximum points to be awarded as follows:

Category:	Maximum Points	Description
PROJECT EXPERIENCE	40	Submit acceptable installations of full size artificial turf fields (minimum of 70,000 sq. ft) in California within the past eight (8) years.
	40	Submit acceptable installations of synthetic running tracks (minimum of 30,000 sq. ft) in California within the past eight (8) years. All installations shall be submitted on the Project Sheet Form which is included in this RFP
PROJECT TEAM	5	Submit a proposed organizational chart specific to this project, identifying the key individuals and their responsibilities
	10	Submit current resumes for the proposed personnel
SAFETY & INJURY DATA	10	Provide independent studies and/or testing data that provide hard evidence that your turf product reduces injuries to athletes when playing on your turf and/or track products. If a written response is provided please limit your response to no more than 250 words.
ARTIFICIAL TURF PRODUCT INFORMATION & PERFORMANCE	5	Submit a 7½” x 12” minimum sample of the exact artificial turf and infill system that is specified for this project.
	5	Provide information for each submitted turf product(s)
	10	Provide a description of key installation methods, such as method of connecting the turf panels (sewing, gluing, or sewing and gluing). Please limit your response to not more than 250 words.
	5	Explain briefly the durability of your turf product included within your pricing proposal. You may include third party testing data for the artificial turf product which is being submitted. Please limit your response to no more than 250 words.

SYNTHETIC TRACK PRODUCT INFORMATION & PERFORMANCE	5	Submit a 6" x 6" minimum sample of the exact synthetic track product(s) that are specified for this project
	5	Provide product specifications for the specified product(s).
PROJECT SCHEDULE	50	Provide a schedule which outlines the duration of each task needs to be completed by the completion dates as outlined in this RFP. Contractor shall adhere to this schedule during the course of construction.
COMPANY INFORMATION & FINANCIAL STRENGTH	10	Indicate years in business, under current license
	20	Provide, with verification, Contractors Experience Modification Rating (EMR) for previous three (3) years.
	30	Provide, with verification, bonding capacity
	30	Provide, with verification, insured warranty aggregate
	280	

DELIVERABLES AND SCOPE OF WORK:

All fields must be completed by October 30, 2013.

ANTICIPATED COMPLETION DATE:

The anticipated completion date for all projects is October 30, 2013.

ALTERNATIVES/OPTIONS:

Not-applicable

EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDED ACTION:

The Administration recommends Board approval of Amendment No. 1 to the Agreement for Construction Services with FieldTurf for the Laney College Field Refurbishment Project (RFP No. 13-14/04), in the amount not-to-exceed \$64,500.

OTHER DEPARTMENTS IMPACTED BY THIS ACTION (E.G. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY):

YES _____ NO X

COMMENTS:

There are no additional comments on this project.

WHO WILL BE PRESENTING THIS ITEM AT THE BOARD MEETING? (VICE CHANCELLOR)

(*****Board contract approval is subject to negotiation and execution by the Chancellor.

DOCUMENT PREPARED BY:

Prepared by: Dr. Sadiq B. Ikharo
Vice Chancellor of General Services

DOCUMENT PRESENTED AND APPROVED BY:

Presented and approved by: Dr. Sadiq B. Ikharo
Vice Chancellor of General Services

FINANCE DEPARTMENT REVIEW

Finance review required Finance review *not* required

If Finance review is required, determination is: Approved Not Approved

If not approved, please give reason: _____

Signature: Ronald Gerhard
Ron Gerhard, Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration

GENERAL COUNSEL (Legality and Format/adherence to Education Codes):

Legal review required Legal review *not* required

If Legal review is required, determination is: Approved Not Approved

Signature: Thuy Thi Nguyen
Thuy Thi Nguyen, General Counsel

CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE APPROVAL

Approved, and Place on Agenda Not Approved, but Place on Agenda

Signature: José M. Ortiz
Dr. José M. Ortiz, Chancellor